$\mathrm{I},{ }^{41-43} \mathrm{SR},{ }^{44} \mathrm{TeR},{ }^{45} \mathrm{PR}_{2},{ }^{5.39 .48} \mathrm{AsR}_{2}{ }^{5,6.47}$ ), which for the bromine-bridged derivative was characterized both by X-ray diffraction ${ }^{48}$ and by detailed infrared spectral studies ${ }^{43}$ to possess $D_{2 h}$ symmetry.
(42) J. C. Hileman, D. K. Huggins, and H. D. Kaesz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 2953 (1961); Inorg. Chem., 1, 933 (1962):
(43) M. A. El-Sayed and H. D. Kaesz, ibid., 2, 158 (1963).
(44) W. Hieber and W. Schropp, Jr., Z. Naturforsch., 14b, 460 (1959) ; P. M. Treichel, J. H. Morris, and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc., 720 (1963).
(45) W. Hieber and T. Kruck, Chem. Ber., 95, 2027 (1962).
(46) W. Hieber and W. Freyer, ibid., 92, 1765 (1959).
(47) R. F. Lambert, Chem. Ind. (London), 830 (1961).

It is hoped that a neutron diffraction study of this compound can be undertaken in the near future in order to verify our proposed configuration.
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# Structure of $\mathrm{IrO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{2} \text {, the Oxygen Adduct of }}\right.$ a Synthetic Reversible Molecular Oxygen Carrier ${ }^{1}$ 
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The structure of $\mathrm{IrO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(P\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)$, which is the oxygen adduct of the synthetic molecular oxygen carrier $\operatorname{IrCl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(P\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{2}$, has been determined from threedimensional $X$-ray data collected from a single crystal. The material crystallizes in space group $C_{i}{ }^{2}-P \bar{l}$ of the triclinic system with two molecules in a cell of dimensions $a=19.02, b=9.83, c=9.93 \AA . ; \quad \alpha=94.0, \beta=64.9$, $\gamma=93.2^{\circ} ; \quad V=1676 \AA .^{3}$. The crystal structure consists of the packing of discrete, monomeric molecules. The molecular structure surmised by Vaska from spectroscopic data has been confirmed, and, in addition, details of the attachment of molecular oxygen to iridium have been obtained. The iridium may be described as either fiveor six-coordinated: the iridium, the two oxygen atoms, the carbonyl group, and the chlorine atom lie in the basal plane, with phosphorus atoms above and below this plane. The two oxygen atoms are equidistant from the iridium atom, with an average Ir- $O$ distance of $2.07 \AA$. The $O-O$ bond length of $1.30 \pm 0.03 \AA$. is intermediate between those characteristic of $O_{2}\left(1.21\right.$ A.) and $O_{2}{ }^{-2}$ (1.49 $\AA$.) and corresponds closely to $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}(1.28 \AA$.).

## Introduction

Knowledge of the manner in which oxygen is attached in natural molecular oxygen carriers, such as hemoglobin and hemocyanin, is obviously of great importance to our understanding of the molecular bases for such properties as reversibility of oxygen uptake and oxygen transport. No direct information on the mode of attachment is available at present, and the prospects for a direct determination by diffraction methods, though not altogether bleak, are at least not immediate. On the basis of indirect spectroscopic and magnetic measurements, several models for the mode of attachment of molecular oxygen to the iron in hemoglobin have been put forward. ${ }^{2-5}$ These run the gamut from a

[^0]linear bonding arrangement ${ }^{2}$ through a bent configuration ${ }^{3.4}$ to the triangular, or $\pi$-bonding, arrangement ${ }^{5}$ in which both oxygens are equidistant from the iron. There has also been recent speculation and discussion concerning the disposition of the electrons in the bonding scheme. ${ }^{4.6-8}$

The synthetic molecular oxygen carriers offer advantages over the natural ones in simplicity and variety. It is evident that by studying the physical and structural properties of synthetic carriers and their oxygen adducts one should be able to increase the understanding of the molecular bases for such phenomena as reversibility. Yet very few studies of a definitive nature have been carried out on these synthetic carriers or their oxygen adducts, probably because most of them are unstable or poorly characterized. ${ }^{9}$ Vaska's discovery ${ }^{10}$ of the 1:1 reversible molecular oxygen carrier $\operatorname{IrCl}(\mathrm{CO})$ $\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{2}$ is thus of great importance, for the oxygen adduct $\mathrm{IrO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{2}}\right.$ may be crystallized and is extremely stable and well characterized in comparison with previously known oxygen adducts. In a preliminary report ${ }^{11}$ we gave some details of our study of the molecular structure of this remarkable compound. In this paper we present our results in full.

## Collection and Reduction of the X-Ray Data

Excellent light orange crystals of $\mathrm{IrO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{P}$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{2}$ were very kindly supplied by L. Vaska. These crystals were stable in air during the X-ray photography,

[^1]and although they did darken gradually, no detectable changes in X-ray intensities resulted. The crystals were assigned to the triclinic system as a result of an optical examination and preliminary X-ray photography. A Delaunay reduction failed to suggest the presence of hidden symmetry. The cell chosen is a primitive one of dimensions $a=19.02 \pm 0.03, b=$ $9.83 \pm 0.02, c=9.93 \pm 0.02 \AA ., \alpha=94.0 \pm 0.1$, $\beta=64.9 \pm 0.1, \gamma=93.2 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$. The unit cell volume is $1676 \AA \AA^{3}$ and the calculated density is 1.61 g. $/ \mathrm{cm} .^{3}$ for two molecules in this cell. A very sensitive test for a piezoelectric effect was kindly performed for us by F. Holtzberg; no effect was found. Accordingly the space group $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{P} \overline{1}$ was assumed in this work, and this assumption seems justified in view of the excellent agreement obtained between observed and calculated structure amplitudes. Since there are two molecules in $\mathrm{P} \overline{1}$, no crystallographic symmetry conditions need be imposed on the molecules.

Integrated intensity data were collected at room temperature by the equi-inclination Weissenberg technique. Zirconium-filtered Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation was employed. The layers $h 0 l$ through $h 6 l$ were photographed. The intensities of 1128 independent reflections within the limiting sphere $\theta_{\mathrm{Mo}} \leq 18^{\circ}$ were estimated visually. (About $60 \%$ of the accessible reflections were sufficiently strong to be estimated reliably.) The usual Lorentz polarization factor was applied to these intensities to yield $F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}$ values (where $F_{\mathrm{o}}$ is the observed structure amplitude), and these were then corrected for absorption. In order to carry out the absorption correction, the eight faces on the crystal used in the X-ray photography were identified by optical goniometry and their dimensions were carefully determined. The volume of the crystal used is approximately $0.0021 \mathrm{~mm} .^{3}$ and has a calculated weight of only $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$. Yet because the crystal is not of equant habit, but has linear dimensions of approximately $0.31 \times 0.09 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$., the absorption corrections are important. Using a linear absorption coefficient of $44.3 \mathrm{~cm} .^{-1}$, we find the transmission coefficients vary from 0.50 to $0.75 .^{12}$ The $F_{\circ}$ values were subsequently brought to an approximate common scale through a modification of Wilson's procedure.

## Solution and Refinement of the Structure

The positions of the Ir and $P$ atoms were evident from inspection of the three-dimensional Patterson function. The positions of the two oxygen atoms and of the ring carbon atoms were easily found in a subsequent difference Fourier synthesis, based on structure factors phased by the Ir and P contributions. The two most prominent peaks on this map corresponded to reasonable positions for the Cl and CO , but they were similar in shape and height and in neither were the $C$ and $O$ of the CO group resolved. A disordered arrangement of CO and Cl was suspected and was confirmed in later calculations.

The structure was refined by the least-squares method. The function minimized was $\Sigma w\left(F_{0}-F_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}$, where the weights $w$ were assigned in the following way: $I$ (the raw intensity) $\leq 16, w=(I / 32 F)^{2} ; I>16, w=$ $(2 F)^{-2}$. The atomic scattering factors for the neutral

[^2]atoms tabulated by Ibers ${ }^{13}$ were used. The anomalous parts of the $\mathrm{Ir}, \mathrm{Cl}$, and P scattering factors were obtained from Templeton's tabulation ${ }^{14}$ and were included in the calculated structure factors. ${ }^{15}$

The refinement was carried out using the group procedure discussed more fully elsewhere. ${ }^{16.17}$ In this manner the phenyl rings were constrained to their wellknown geometry ( $\mathrm{D}_{6 \mathrm{~h}}$ symmetry, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=1.392 \AA$. , $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=1.08 \AA$.) with consequent increase in convergence rate and decrease in the number of parameters and in computing time. This procedure permits the introduction of physically reasonable information, namely the phenyl ring geometry, into the refinement and this is particularly important since this geometry is known more accurately than it could be deduced from a structure determination of this sort. The variable parameters for each phenyl ring are a single, isotropic thermal parameter and six positional parameters. These are the fractional coordinates of the ring center, $x_{\mathrm{c}}, y_{\mathrm{c}}$, and $z_{\mathrm{c}}$, and three angles, $\delta, \epsilon$, and $\eta$, which are successive counterclockwise rotations about the $\mathbf{a}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$, $\mathbf{a}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{a}_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ orthogonal internal axes that bring about alignment, except for translation, of this coordinate system with an orthogonal, external coordinate system A. The origin of the internal coordinate system is taken at the ring center, and $\mathbf{a}_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ is normal to the plane of the ring while $\mathbf{a}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ intersects a vertex. The orthogonal, external coordinate system $\mathbf{A}$ is formed from the triclinic coordinate system a in the following way: $\mathbf{A}_{2}$ is parallel to $\mathbf{a}_{2} ; \mathbf{A}_{1}$ is parallel to $\mathbf{a}_{2} \times \mathbf{a}_{3} ; \mathbf{A}_{3}$ is parallel to $\mathbf{A}_{1} \times \mathbf{A}_{2}$.

In the initial refinement, Cl scattering factors were assigned to each of the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CO}$ peaks, and each of the heavy atoms was assigned a single, variable isotropic thermal parameter. This refinement of 70 individual and group positional and thermal parameters converged rapidly to a conventional $R$ factor $\left(R=\Sigma| | F_{0} \mid-\right.$ $\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right|$ ) of 0.085 and to a weighted $R$ factor $R^{\prime}$ $\left(R^{\prime}=\left(\Sigma w\left(F_{\circ}-F_{c}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w F_{\circ}{ }^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$ of 0.100 . A difference Fourier synthesis based on this refinement provided no indication of an incorrect treatment of the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CO}$ peaks, but did suggest that the Ir atom is vibrating anisotropically.

In a final round of calculations the Ir was assigned anisotropic thermal parameters, the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CO}$ positions were again approximated by a Cl scattering factor, and this time the hydrogen atom contributions on the phenyl rings were included in the calculations. This refinement of 75 positional and thermal parameters converged to values of $R$ of 0.071 and of $R^{\prime}$ of 0.074 and led to the final parameter values given in Table I. The standard deviations of these parameters, as estimated from the inverse matrix, are also listed in Table I. (Table II lists the fractional coordinates for the ring carbon atoms that can be derived from the data of Table I.) A final difference Fourier based on this refinement had density no higher than 0.9 electron $/ \AA .{ }^{3}$, about $30 \%$ of the height of a phenyl carbon atom in this structure. The only obvious feature on this difference Fourier relates to the vibration of the phenyl rings:
(13) J. A. Ibers in "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. 3, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1962, Table 3.3.1.
(14) D. H. Templeton, ref. 13, Table 3.3.2C.
(15) J. A. Ibers and W. C. Hamilton, Acta Cryst., 17, 781 (1964).
(16) S. J. La Placa and J. A. Ibers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 3501 (1963).
(17) S. J. La Placa and J. A. Ibers, Acta Cryst., 18, 511 (1965).

Table I. Positional, Thermal, and Group Parameters for $\mathrm{IrO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)_{3}\right)_{2}$

| Atom |  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |  | $B, \AA{ }^{\text {A }}{ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ir | $0.2342(1)^{a}$ |  | $0.2100(2)$ | 0.0068(2) |  | $b$ |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $0.1335(6)$ |  | $0.3207(13)$ | $0.2136(11)$ |  | 3.9(3) |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 0.3430 (7) |  | $0.1266(15)$ | -0.2024(13) |  | $6.0(4)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.2873(9)$ |  | $0.1153(18)$ | $0.1618(16)$ |  | 11.0 (4) |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $0.1467(10)$ |  | 0.0293(21) | 0.0019(19) |  | 13.6 (5) |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | $0.224(1)$ |  | 0.366 (3) | -0.117(2) |  | 4.9(7) |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0.279 (2) |  | 0.397(3) | $-0.073(3)$ |  | 8.4(1.0) |  |
| Group | $x_{0}{ }^{d}$ | $y_{0}$ | $z_{\text {e }}$ | $\delta$ | $\epsilon$ | $\eta$ | $B, \AA{ }^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{1}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -0.033(1) | 0.313 (2) | $0.195(2)$ | 4.97(4) | $5.22(2)$ | 4.97(4) | 5.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $0.098(1)$ | $0.203(2)$ | $0.528(2)$ | $3.04(2)$ | 5.81 (2) | 1.69 (2) | 5.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $0.181(1)$ | $0.635(2)$ | 0.250 (1) | $1.21(2)$ | $5.86(1)$ | 2.91(2) | 5.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $0.375(1)$ | 0.279 (2) | -0.494(2) | $4.86(2)$ | $0.29(2)$ | 5.20(2) | 6.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $0.496(1)$ | 0.152(2) | -0.146(2) | 0.30(2) | $0.33(2)$ | 2.46(2) | 7. 2(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 0.330 (1) | -0.192(2) | -0.281(2) | $5.34(5)$ | $5.10(2)$ | $3.85(5)$ | 6.3(5) |

${ }^{a}$ Numbers in parentheses here and in succeeding tables are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits. ${ }^{b}$ Ir was refined anisotropically. The thermal ellipsoid had the form $\exp \left(-\beta_{11} h^{2}+\beta_{22} k^{2}+\beta_{33} l^{2}+2 \beta_{12} h k+2 \beta_{13} h l+2 \beta_{23} k l\right.$ ) and the values obtained are $\beta_{11}=0.00205(6) ; \quad \beta_{22}=0.0088(2) ; \beta_{33}=0.0085(2) ; \beta_{12}=0.00082(9) ; \quad \beta_{13}=-0.0025(1) ; \quad \beta_{23}=0.0020(2)$. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ refer to disordered $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CO}$ positions. $\quad d x_{c}, y_{c}$, and $z_{\mathrm{c}}$ are the fractional coordinates of the ring centers. The angles $\delta, \epsilon, \eta$ (in radians) are defined in the text. ${ }^{e} P_{1} R_{1}$ is phosphorus 1 , ring 1 , etc.

Table II. Derived Parameters for Group Carbon Atoms

| Group atom ${ }^{a}$ | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $0.039(1)$ | 0.317 (3) | $0.203(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $0.036(1)$ | 0.325 (3) | 0.066 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | -0.036(2) | $0.321(3)$ | $0.058(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | -0.104(1) | $0.309(4)$ | $0.188(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ | -0.101(1) | $0.302(3)$ | 0.324 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | -0.030(2) | $0.306(3)$ | 0.332 (2) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $0.111(1)$ | $0.257(3)$ | $0.394(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $0.098(1)$ | 0.118 (3) | 0.410 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $0.085(1)$ | $0.063(2)$ | 0.545 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $0.085(1)$ | 0.148 (3) | 0.663 (2) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $0.098(1)$ | 0.288(3) | 0.646 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 0.111(1) | $0.342(2)$ | 0.512 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $0.160(2)$ | 0.499 (2) | $0.238(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $0.111(1)$ | $0.601(4)$ | 0.243 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $0.132(2)$ | $0.737(3)$ | $0.256(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $0.202(2)$ | $0.772(2)$ | $0.263(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pr}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $0.251(1)$ | 0.670 (4) | $0.258(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $0.230(2)$ | $0.534(3)$ | 0.245 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $0.361(2)$ | 0.217 (3) | -0.363(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $0.435(2)$ | 0.271 (3) | -0.448(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $0.449(1)$ | 0.334 (3) | -0.579(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 0.390 (2) | 0.342 (3) | -0.625(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 0.316 (2) | 0.288 (3) | -0.540(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $0.302(1)$ | $0.225(3)$ | -0.409(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 0.431(2) | $0.138(4)$ | -0.178(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $0.444(2)$ | $0.257(3)$ | -0.107(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $0.509(2)$ | $0.271(3)$ | -0.075(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $0.561(1)$ | 0.166 (4) | -0.114(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $0.548(2)$ | 0.046 (3) | -0.185(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 0.483(2) | 0.033(3) | -0.216(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $0.333(2)$ | -0.052(2) | -0.250(4) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $0.352(1)$ | -0.102(3) | -0.396(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $0.349(2)$ | -0.241(4) | -0.427(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $0.326(2)$ | -0.331(2) | -0.313(4) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 0.307 (1) | -0.282(3) | -0.167(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 0.310 (2) | -0.142(3) | -0.136(2) |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ is attached to P ; other C atoms are numbered in succession so that $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ is para to $\mathrm{C}_{1}$. The standard deviations are derived from estimated standard deviations in the group parameters and are meant to be used in error analyses on inter-ring distances. Intra-ring distances, of course, are fixed ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=1.392 \AA$.).
there is a clear indication that the carbon atoms nearest to phosphorus are vibrating less than those farther
away. The differences in thermal parameters were not derived. Even in this difference Fourier there was no indication that the treatment of the disorder was improper. Although a more elaborate treatment might be desirable, it does not seem possible, since the $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{C}$ distances and the thermal parameters of $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{C}$, and O would have to be known in advance, and could not be refined, if one were to use a model consisting of $1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}$ and $1 / 2 \mathrm{CO}$ at each position. Undoubtedly the high thermal parameters for "atoms" $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ (where X designates a $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CO}$ composite position) are a reflection of the fact that the peak is broadened both by the composite $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ peak and also because the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ peak center and the Cl peak center do not necessarily coincide. Both for this reason and because of the excellent agreement achieved with the present model, the parameters of Table I are taken as final parameters for this structure. In Table III the values of $F_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ (in electrons) are given for the 1128 observed reflections. The $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ values for unobserved reflections are omitted from Table III, since none of the intensities calculated for unobserved but accessible reflections exceeds our estimate of a minimum observable intensity value.

The anisotropic thermal parameters of Ir lead to principal root-mean-square amplitudes of vibration of $0.125 \pm 0.004,0.192 \pm 0.002$, and $0.220 \pm 0.003 \AA$.

## Description of the Structure

The crystal structure described by the space group, the parameters of Table I, and the cell parameters consists of the packing of individual, monomeric molecules (shortest $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{Ir}$ distance $>9 \AA$.). A perspective drawing of a monomer molecule is shown in Figure 1; the molecular structure is essentially that deduced by Vaska ${ }^{10}$ from spectroscopic and magnetic data. The $\mathrm{Ir}, \mathrm{O}_{1}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ atoms are coplanar: the best least-squares plane ${ }^{18}$ through these five atoms has the equation $8.331 x-3.931 y-5.312 z=1.089$ (triclinic coordinates). The distances of these atoms from this plane are given in Table IV. Figure 2 shows part of the difference electron density in this plane.
(18) W. C. Hamilton, Acta. Cryst., 14, 185 (1961).

Table III. Observed and Calculated Structure Amplitudes (in Electrons) for $\operatorname{IrO} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}_{( }\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{2}$
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Figure 1. A perspective drawing of the $\mathrm{IrO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{2}$ molecule. $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ are the disordered $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CO}$ positions; phenyl hydrogen atoms are not shown.

This difference Fourier is based on $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ values that do not include contributions from $\mathrm{O}_{1}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{1}$, or $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ and displays the electron density at these positions. Figure 2 illustrates rather well the near equivalence of the $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ peaks and the fact that while the $\mathrm{O}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ peaks are readily resolved, there is no indication of CO resolution. This total disorder of the Cl and CO positions may be rationalized in the following way. Presumably the compound results from the attack above or below the square-planar $\operatorname{IrCl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{2}$ molecule by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in benzene solution, for the method of preparation ${ }^{10}$ is essentially the reaction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ with a benzene solution of $\operatorname{IrCl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{2}$. Since the triphenylphosphine ligands are equivalent in solution, ${ }^{10}$ only one isomer is formed, and if the relative positions of Cl and CO do not affect the crystallization process, then total disorder would be expected. This is not unreasonable, for the packing is determined almost entirely by the triphenylphosphine groups: the volume per triphenylphosphine in this structure is only $15 \%$ greater than in triphenylphosphine ${ }^{19}$ itself.

Table IV. Distances of Atoms from Best Least-Squares Plane

| Atom | Distance, A. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ir | $0.0008(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $-0.007(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $0.008(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | $-0.039(21)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $0.063(28)$ |

Principal intramolecular distances and angles are given in Table V. (All intermolecular contacts appear to be normal and are therefore not listed.) The intramolecular distances seem normal. The average $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{P}$ distance of $2.37 \AA$. may be compared with the basal $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{P}$ distances of $2.39 \AA$. in $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{20} \text { and with }}\right.$ $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}$ distances of $2.32 \AA$. in $\mathrm{RhH}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot{ }^{16}$ There is no comparable $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ geometry described in the literature. However, the $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{O}$ distances in $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{CrO}_{8}$ average $1.89 \AA .{ }^{21}$ and since the $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ bonding seems to be similar to the $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ bonding found here and since
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Figure 2. Electron density at the $\mathbf{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ positions in the best least-squares plane containing these positions and the Ir. The Ir position is marked with a cross. The contour interval is 0.26 electron $/ \AA .{ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ and contours from 1.31 to 5.24 electrons/ $/ \AA^{3}{ }^{3}$ are shown.
the Cr radius is about $0.1 \AA$. less than the Ir radius, the average Ir-O distance of $2.07 \AA$. does not seem unreasonable. The triphenylphosphine geometry is similar to that found recently in other compounds, ${ }^{16,20}$ with the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ angles being somewhat larger.

Table V. Selected Intramolecular Distances and Angles

| Intramolecular distance, A |  | -- Angle, deg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2.38(1) | $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $172.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2.36 (1) | $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 93.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 2.42 (2) | $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $90.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 2.38(2) | $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 85.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 2.09 (3) | $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 89.2 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 2.04 (3) | $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 87.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 4.73(2) | $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 96.066) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 3.48(2) | $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 90.6(7) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $3.39(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 84.1(9) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 3.04 (2) | $\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $100.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 3.11(3) | $\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | $152.4(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 3.32(2) | $\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $115.9(1.0)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 3.52 (2) | $\mathrm{X}_{2}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 107.4 (9) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 3.17 (3) | $\mathrm{X}_{2}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 144.0(1.1) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 2.96 (3) | $\mathrm{O}_{1}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 36.7(9) |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 3.68 (2) | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 105(1) |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 4.39(3) | (av. of six) |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 3.79(4) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 3.61(3) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 4.21(4) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $1.30(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}_{1}$ (av. | 1.81(1) |  |  |

The significant facts with regard to oxygen attachment are that the two oxygen atoms are equidistant from the $\operatorname{Ir}$ (difference in $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths is 0.051 $\pm 0.045 \AA$.) and the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ distance of $1.30 \pm 0.03 \AA$., while longer than that in molecular oxygen ( $1.21 \AA$. ), is significantly less than that in a typical peroxide ( $1.49 \AA$.). ${ }^{22}$ This equivalence of the oxygen atoms is consistent with Griffith's ${ }^{5}$ model of the $\pi$-bonding of molecular oxygen to iron in hemoglobin (also a $1: 1$ oxygen carrier). The facts that oxygen uptake is reversible and that the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length is significantly shorter

[^4]than that in a typical peroxide are consistent with the views of Martell and Calvin ${ }^{23}$ that reversibility probably depends upon some electron transfer from metal to oxygen, but not sufficient transfer to bring about irreversible oxidation of the metal. In the present case this transfer amounts to approximately one electron, since the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ distance corresponds closely to $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}(1.28 \AA$.); thus the formal oxidation state is $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{II})$ and yet the compound is diamagnetic. Of course, in compounds of this type the concept of a formal oxidation state is not particularly useful, A less qualitative and possibly more useful description of the bonding might result from a molecular orbital calculation, although this would be more meaningful if the structures of a series of analogous compounds were known. Even the question of whether Ir is five- or six-coordinated in this structure has been tacitly ignored. (The Ir could be thought of as five-coordinated if the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule were counted as a single ligand, as is, for example, the ethylene molecule in Zeiss's salt.) An analogous compound with $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ in place of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ has been prepared by Vaska ${ }^{24}$ and its structure is now under investigation. ${ }^{25}$ The differences between the stereochemistry of the $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ com-
(23) A. E. Martell and M. Calvin, "Chemistry of the Metal Chelate Compounds," Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1952, p. 352.
(24) L. Vaska, unpublished results.
(25) Note Added in Proof. The $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ complex is five-coordinated. with an Ir-S bond. However, the coordination geometry is tetragonal
pounds should provide additional insight into the bonding in each.

It is particularly interesting that the combination $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+} . \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$has been proposed very recently by Weiss ${ }^{6.7}$ as the structure of oxyhemoglobin and that a preliminary molecular orbital calculation ${ }^{8}$ appears to support such a structure. It may be that the analogy between the oxyhemoglobin system and the synthetic iridium system studied here is a very good one. Nevertheless, it is obviously dangerous to extrapolate from this one structure determination and conclude that in both natural and synthetic molecular oxygen carriers $\pi$ bonding of the oxygen to the metal is the rule and that the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ distance approaching that of $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$is necessary for reversibility of oxygen uptake. Clearly additional experiments are needed to discover new, synthetic oxygen carriers of sufficient stability so that additional molecular structures of their oxygen adducts can be determined.
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pyramidal with $S$ at the apex, rather than the trigonal bipyramidal geometry which may be assigned to the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ complex.
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